Illogical Arithmetic or Arithmetical Illogic

(November 2026)

WHEN A COURT OF JUSTICE RULES WITHOUT CHECKING THE MATH

Consider a real civil judgment in a supposedly un-authoritarian State of the United States of America in which an individual was found liable for converting **$1,304,764.22**.

The accuser's only purported evidence of conversion, a simplistic one-page table **never** produced during discovery and first introduced at trial in the **absence** of the accused, stated that the profits of an overseas enterprise should have been allocated equally to its three shareholders (**$434,921.41** to each), among them the accused, although his share should have been paid instead to the accuser.

Yet the Court expeditiously held the accused liable for converting the entire **$1,304,764.22**, including the amounts that the exhibit itself indicated should have been allocated to other parties.

Remarkably, the exhibit also claimed for the accuser profit distributions attributable to shares which the accuser had only acquired long thereafter.

Most remarkably, the purported evidence **omitted**, quite extraordinarily, that the accuser had actually received **$1,318,169.45** from the profit transactions, an amount **exceeding** the entire sum for which the defendant was held liable.

The defendant, whose remote appearance the Court had denied for reasons of inconvenience, was afforded no opportunity to expose these not only incongruous, but also quite immature contradictions.

If the judicial process does not scrutinize such elementary realities, what purpose does it serve? Was the judge slumbering, or tending to other business, or was basic Logical Arithmetic or Arithmetical Logic just not her forte?